

**Possible improvements of
the Eurosystem's monetary policy regime**

Lars E.O. Svensson
www.princeton.edu/~svensson
June 2006

- Points to consider
 - Goals
 - Framework for policy decisions
 - Communication: Forecasts
 - Accountability

1

- Goals

- Current:
 - * “Annual HICP increases below 2%”: $0 \leq ? < \text{inflation} < 2\%$
 - * M3 reference value: Inflation target 1.5%
- Problems:
 - * Ambiguous and asymmetric
 - * Zone of indifference? Thresholds for policy adjustment?
 - * Imperfect anchor for inflation expectations

2

- Better:

- * Point inflation target: 1.5% (possibly tolerance interval: $\pm 1\%$)
- * Thick point: 1–2% (Issing Milan!)
- * Unambiguous and symmetric
- * Better anchor for inflation expectations
- * No thresholds, gradual policy
- * “Avoid unnecessary output-gap variability”
- * Specify loss function: $L_t = (\pi_t - \pi^*)^2 + \lambda(y_t - \bar{y}_t)^2$
- Fed no model

3

- Framework for policy decisions

- Efficient in collecting, processing information, reaching decisions
- Effective in achieving goals
- Because of lags: Inflation forecast 1–3 yrs ahead, conditional on alternative interest rate paths
- Set interest rate so conditional inflation forecast (and output-gap forecast) “look good”
- Riksbank, Bank of England: “If inflation forecast 1–2 years ahead above (below) target, raise (lower) instrument rate”
- No reason for separate monetary pillar (incorporate in inflation and output-gap forecasts)

4

- Communication

- Effective in motivation decisions, simplifying evaluation, increasing public understanding?
- Explain and motivate policy in terms of inflation forecast
- Publish inflation forecasts, including assumptions, inputs, uncertainty (distribution)
- Transparency necessary for effective accountability
- Published material similar to internal

5

- Current Eurosystem forecasts: Every 6 months

- Problems

- Every 3(4) months better: ECB forecast between Eurosystem forecasts
- Inconsistent assumptions
 - * 3-month interest rate: constant
 - * long interest rates: market expectations
 - * exchange rate: constant
- Brief/superficial report (longer report to GC?)
- Minimal information
 - * Table, average annual percentage changes
 - * No graphs
- Rudimentary uncertainty discussion/reporting

6

- Learn from Bank of England, Riksbank, RBNZ, Bank of Norway (Fed no model)

- But, room for improvements:

- “Best forecasts” of inflation, output-gap, interest rate, exchange rate
 - * Consistency, affect expectations, compare w/ outcome
 - * 2nd best: Inflation and output-gap forecast conditional on market interest-rate expectations
- 3-year horizon rather than 2
- Mean forecasts rather than mode
- Confidence intervals conditional on policy-response

7

- *Inflation Report* (quarterly, 4-monthly)

- Current inflation relative to previous inflation forecast
 - * Reasons for deviations
- Updates of inputs in inflation forecast
 - * Current inflation, output gap, unemployment gap, inflation expectations, wages, imported inflation, etc.
- Update of inflation forecast
 - * Risks, uncertainty, distribution
 - * Policy alternatives
- Output-gap forecast, avoid unnecessary variability

- Restructure *Monthly Bulletin*

- Every 3rd (4th): *Inflation Report*
- Between: Articles, report data

8

- Restructure monetary policy decisions/meetings: Quarterly, mid-quarter update (4-monthly, 2-month update)

9